**Vote No. 292** 

September 17, 1996, 2:58 pm Page S-10656 Temp. Record

## **ENERGY-WATER APPROPRIATIONS/Conference, Passage**

**SUBJECT:** 

Conference report to accompany the Energy and Water Development Appropriations Bill for fiscal year 1997...H.R. 3816. Agreeing to the conference report.

## **ACTION: CONFERENCE REPORT AGREED TO, 92-8**

SYNOPSIS: As passed, H.R. 3816, the Energy and Water Development Appropriations Bill for fiscal year 1997, will provide \$19.973 billion in new budget authority to the Department of Defense's Civil Corps of Engineers, to the Department of the Interior's Bureaus of Reclamation, to the relevant offices within the Department of Energy, and to related independent agencies and commissions. Appropriations will be as follows:

- Civil Corps of Engineers: \$3.503 billion;
- Department of the Interior: \$818.9 million, including \$775.3 million for the Bureau of Reclamation (\$9 million of which will be for the Animas La Plata Project; see vote No. 251 for related debate) and \$43.6 million for the Central Utah Project completion account:
- Department of Energy: \$15.780 billion, including \$11.336 billion for Atomic Energy Defense Activities (for related debate, see vote No. 250) and \$240.1 million for the Power Marketing Administrations; and
- Independent Agencies: \$299.0 million, including \$160 million for the Appalachian Regional Commission (for related debate, see vote No. 252) and \$106 million for the Tennessee Valley Authority.

## Miscellaneous:

- due to repeated and blatant failures of the Clinton Administration to follow congressional intent in spending funds appropriated for the Energy accounts funded by past Energy and Water Development Appropriations Bills, the Department of Energy will be required to report by February 28, 1997 to Congress on its adherence to the recommendations in the committee report accompanying this bill; and
- an independent science advisory panel will be created to advise the Bonneville Power Administration on spending funds for fish and wildlife enhancement.

(See other side) **YEAS (92) NAYS (8)** NOT VOTING (0) Republican Democrats Republicans **Democrats** Republicans **Democrats** (48 or 91%) (44 or 94%) (5 or 9%) (3 or 6%) (0)(0)Abraham Helms Akaka Johnston Brown Bryan Hutchison Ashcroft Baucus Kennedy Faircloth Feingold Inhofe Bennett Biden Kerrey Kvl Kerry Jeffords Bond Bingaman Kohl McCain Lautenberg Burns Kassebaum Boxer Roth Campbell Kempthorne Bradley Leahy Chafee Lott Breaux Levin Coats Lugar **Bumpers** Lieberman Mack Cochran Byrd Mikulski McConnell Conrad Cohen Moselev-Braun Coverdell Murkowski Daschle Moynihan Nickles Craig Dodd Murray D'Amato Pressler Dorgan Nunn DeWine Santorum Exon Pell Feinstein Domenici Shelby Pryor Frahm Simpson Ford Reid EXPLANATION OF ABSENCE: Frist Smith Glenn Robb Gorton Snowe Graham Rockefeller 1—Official Buisiness Gramm Specter Harkin Sarbanes 2—Necessarily Absent Stevens Heflin Grams Simon 3—Illness Grassley Thomas Hollings Wellstone 4—Other Gregg Thompson Inouye Wyden Hatch Thurmond SYMBOLS: Hatfield Warner AY—Announced Yea AN-Announced Nav PY-Paired Yea PN-Paired Nay

VOTE NO. 292 SEPTEMBER 17, 1996

## Those favoring passage contended:

The House-passed bill provided \$700 million less for defense activities than did the Senate-passed bill, and it provided \$187 million less for nondefense activities. The conference report is closer to the Senate's position--it will provide \$200 million less for defense activites and \$87 million less for nondefense activities than the Senate-passed bill. For the most part the Senate's priorities were followed. For instance, \$9 million will be provided for the Animas La Plata water project. Hopefully the Administration will stop ignoring the law with endless delays on this project and will move forward as required by this bill. One item on which the Senate did not prevail was the provision giving States some authority to control the in-flow of garbage from other States. The House conferees insisted on removing that provision. Overall, we are pleased with both the frugality of this conference report and the manner in which it will allocate scarce funds. We urges its adoption.

No arguments were expressed in opposition to passage.